## Two-Photon Theory: Inelastic Effects and Partonic Approach

Andrei Afanasev

The George Washington University, Washington, DC, USA



#### Radiative corrections for charged lepton scattering Two-photon exchange effects (unpolarized and polarized) T-violation Summary



#### Ge/Gm Ratio: Polarization vs Rosenbluth



Recent review: A. Afanasev, P. Blunden, D. Hassell, B. Raue, https://arxiv.org/abs/1703.03874 Prog.Part.Nucl.Phys. **95** (2017) 245-278

#### THE GEORGE WASHINGTON UNIVERSITY

WASHINGTON, DC

## Complete radiative correction in $O(\alpha_{em})$



**Radiative Corrections:** 

- Electron vertex correction (a)
- Vacuum polarization (b)
- Electron bremsstrahlung (c,d)
- Two-photon exchange (e,f)
- Proton vertex and VCS (g,h)
- Corrections (e-h) depend on the nucleon structure
- •Meister&Yennie; Mo&Tsai
- •Further work by Bardin&Shumeiko;

Maximon&Tjon; AA, Akushevich, Merenkov;

•Guichon&Vanderhaeghen'03:

Can (e-f) account for the Rosenbluth vs. polarization experimental discrepancy? Look for  $\sim 3\%$  ...

#### Main issue: Corrections dependent on nucleon structure

Model calculations:

•Blunden, Melnitchouk, Tjon, Phys.Rev.Lett.91:142304,2003

•Chen, AA, Brodsky, Carlson, Vanderhaeghen, Phys.Rev.Lett.93:122301,2004

#### THE GEORGE WASHINGTON UNIVERSITY

WASHINGTON, DC

е

### Separating soft 2-photon exchange

- . Tsai; Maximon & Tjon ( $k \rightarrow 0$ ); similar to Coulomb corrections at low  $Q^2$
- . Grammer & Yennie prescription PRD 8, 4332 (1973) (also applied in QCD calculations)
- . Shown is the resulting (soft) QED correction to cross section
- . Already included in experimental data analysis for elastic ep
  - <u>Also done for pion electroproduction in AA, Aleksejevs, Barkanova, Phys.Rev. D88</u> (2013) 5, 053008 (inclusion of lepton masses is straightforward)



THE GEORGE WASHINGTON UNIVERSITY Lepton mass is not essential for TPE calculation in ultra-relativistic case; Two-photon effect below 1% for lower energies and  $Q^2 < 0.1 GeV^2$ 

Andrei Afanasev, The Electroweak Box Workshop, Umass Amherst, Sept 28-30, 2017

### General Analysis of ep->ep (including 2-photon exchange)

- Reaction  $e(1/2,\lambda_1)+p(1/2,h_1)$ -> $e(1/2,\lambda_2)+p(1/2,h_2) => 16$  possible helicity combinations
- Parity:  $T_{\lambda_1 h_1}^{\lambda_2 h_2} = (-1)^{(\lambda_1 h_1) (\lambda_2 h_2)} T_{-\lambda_1 h_1}^{-\lambda_2 h_2}$   $\Longrightarrow$ 8 amplitudes
  - Time-reversal:  $T_{\lambda_1 h_1}^{\lambda_2 h_2} = (-1)^{(\lambda_1 h_1) (\lambda_2 h_2)} T_{-\lambda_2 h_2}^{-\lambda_1 h_1}$
  - =>6 amplitudes

Independent helicity amplitudes:

$$\begin{split} A_{1} &= T_{\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}}, A_{2} = T_{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2}}, A_{3} = T_{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \\ A_{4} &= T_{\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}}^{-\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}}, A_{5} = T_{\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}}^{-\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2}}, A_{6} = T_{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2}}^{-\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}}, \\ for \ m_{e} &= 0, \ A_{4-6} = 0 \end{split} \qquad \begin{aligned} \sigma &= N(|A_{1}|^{2} + |A_{2}|^{2} + 2|A_{3}|^{2} + 2|A_{4}|^{2} + |A_{5}|^{2} + |A_{6}|^{2}) \\ \sigma P_{y} &= 2N \operatorname{Im}(F), \quad \sigma P_{x} &= 2N \operatorname{Re}(F) \\ F &= (A_{1} + A_{2})A_{3}^{*} + A_{4}(A_{6}^{*} - A_{5}^{*}), \\ \sigma P_{z} &= N(|A_{1}|^{2} - |A_{2}|^{2} + |A_{5}|^{2} - |A_{6}|^{2}) \end{split}$$

#### THE GEORGE WASHINGTON UNIVERSITY

Andrei Afanasev, The Electroweak Box Workshop, Umass Amherst, Sept 28-30, 2017

## Short-range effects (Chen,AA, Brodsky, Carlson,Vanderhaeghen)

Two-photon probe directly interacts with a (massless) quark Emission/reabsorption of the quark is described by GPDs

$$\begin{split} A_{eq \to eq}^{2\gamma} &= \frac{e_q^2}{t} \frac{\alpha_{em}}{2\pi} (V_e \otimes V_q \times f_V + A_e \otimes A_q \times f_A) \\ f_V &= -2[\log(-\frac{u}{s}) + i\pi]\log(-\frac{t}{\lambda^2}) - \frac{t}{2} [\frac{1}{s} (\log(\frac{u}{t}) + i\pi) - \frac{1}{u} \log(-\frac{s}{t})] + \\ &+ \frac{(u^2 - s^2)}{4} [\frac{1}{s^2} (\log^2(\frac{u}{t}) + \pi^2) + \frac{1}{u^2} \log(-\frac{s}{t}) (\log(-\frac{s}{t}) + i2\pi)] + i\pi \frac{u^2 - s^2}{2su} \\ f_A &= -\frac{t}{2} [\frac{1}{s} (\log(\frac{u}{t}) + i\pi) + \frac{1}{u} \log(-\frac{s}{t})] + \\ &+ \frac{(u^2 - s^2)}{4} [\frac{1}{s^2} (\log^2(\frac{u}{t}) + \pi^2) - \frac{1}{u^2} \log(-\frac{s}{t}) (\log(-\frac{s}{t}) + i2\pi)] + i\pi \frac{t^2}{2su} \end{split}$$

Afanasev, Brodsky, Carlson, Chen, Vanderhaeghen, Phys.Rev.Lett.**93**:122301,2004; Phys.Rev.D**72**:013008,2005

Andrei Afanasev, The Electroweak Box Workshop, Umass Amherst, Sept 28-30, 2017

WASHINGTON UNIVERSITY WASHINGTON, DC

THE GEORGE

#### **Quark-Level Calculations**





AA, Brodsky, Carlson, Chen, Vanderhaeghen, Phys.Rev.Lett.**93**:122301,2004;

Phys.Rev.D72:013008,2005







THE GEORGE WASHINGTON UNIVERSITY

Andrei Afanasev, The Electroweak Box Workshop, Umass Amherst, Sept 28-30, 2017

#### Results for cross section measurements

 New correction brings results of Rosenbluth and polarization techniques into agreement (data shown are from Andivahis et al, PRD 50, 5491 (1994)



#### THE GEORGE WASHINGTON UNIVERSITY

Andrei Afanasev, The Electroweak Box Workshop, Umass Amherst, Sept 28-30, 2017

### Updated Ge/Gm plot

AA, Brodsky, Carlson, Chen, Vanderhaeghen, Phys.Rev.Lett.93:122301, 2004; Phys.Rev.D72:013008, 2005 Review: Carlson, Vanderhaeghen, Ann.Rev.Nucl.Part.Sci. 57 (2007) 171-204



- Significant part of the discrepancy is removed by the TPE mechanism
- Verification coming from
  - VEPP: PRL 114 (2015) 6, 062005
  - CLAS: PRL 114 (2015) 6, 062003
  - OLYMPUS: PRL 118 (2017) 092501

Recent review: A. Afanasev, P. Blunden, D. Hassell, B. Raue, <u>https://arxiv.org/abs/1703.03874</u>, Prog. Nucl. Part. Phys. June 2017

Prog.Nucl.Part.Phys. June 2017.

#### WASHINGTON UNIVERSITY WASHINGTON, DC

THE GEORGE

#### **Electron/Positron Ratios**

Recent results from CLAS, VEPP and OLYMPUS

- Prior results analyzed, eg, in E. Tomasi-Gustafsson, M. Osipenko, E. A. Kuraev, and Yu. Bystritsky, Phys. Atom. Nucl. 76, 937 (2013), arXiv:0909.4736
- For new discussion, see A. Afanasev et al., <u>https://arxiv.org/abs/1703.03874</u>, Prog.Nucl.Part.Phys. June 2017.



#### THE GEORGE WASHINGTON UNIVERSITY

•

Andrei Afanasev, The Electroweak Box Workshop, Umass Amherst, Sept 28-30, 2017

#### 2γ-exchange Correction to Parity-Violating Electron Scattering Afanasev, C.E. Carlson, PRL94 (2005) 212301



#### Proton radius puzzle





Slide credit: Miha Mihavilovic, JLAB Seminar, March'17

#### Andrei Afanasev, The Electroweak Box Workshop, Umass Amherst, Sept 28-30, 2017

WASHINGTON UNIVERSITY WASHINGTON, DC

THE GEORGE

### **MUSE** Prospectives

MUSE:

- Experiment preparation underway in PSI and MUSE collaborating institutions
- . The effort on the radiative corrections aims at proper accounting of the radiative effects, that appear to show significant difference between electron and muon scattering (Afanasev, Strauch, Bernauer, Koshchii)
- Radiative corrections shown to be <1% for muons; included in MUSE analysis
- . Two-photon effects can be studied directly in the ratio of  $\mu$ + and  $\mu$ cross sections

### Helicity-Flip in TPE; estimate of inelastic contribution

- New dynamics from scalars ( $\sigma$ , f-mesons). No pseudo-scalar contribution for unpolarized particles
- . Scalar t-channel exchange contributes to TPE (no longer setting  $m_{lepton}$  to zero!)



- No information on  $F_{\sigma\mu\mu}$  coupling is available. Need model estimates.
- . Theory analysis by AA, Koshchii, Phys.Rev. D 94, 116007 (2016).

Can be studied directly in the ratio of  $\mu$ + and  $\mu$ - cross sections

Andrei Afanasev, The Electroweak Box Workshop, Umass Amherst, Sept 28-30, 2017

THE GEORGE WASHINGTON UNIVERSITY

#### Inelastic+Elastic

 Tomalak, Vanderhaeghen, arXiv:1512.09113Eur. Phys. J. C 76, no. 3, 125 (2016)
Both inelastic and elastic contributions included
Elastic TPE dominates, Inelastic ~ 10<sup>-4</sup> effects;
TPE for electrons is about twice larger than for muons.



#### THE GEORGE WASHINGTON UNIVERSITY

WASHINGTON, DC

### RadCor for MUSE

- . Radiative corrections show significant difference between electron and muon scattering in MUSE, must be properly accounted for
- . Radiative corrections calculated to be about 1-1.5% for muons and varies from -4% to +3% for electrons
  - Uncertainties mainly from acceptances, need to include in detector simulations (Monte Carlo generator of radiative events was developed for MUSE). Theory uncertainties <0.1% (muons), <0.5% (electrons)</li>
- Two-photon exchange <1% (electrons), <0.5% (muons), ~0.01% (inelastic excitations)</li>
- . Two-photon effects can be studied directly in the ratio of  $\mu$ + and  $\mu$ -, <u>e</u><sup>+</sup> and <u>e</u><sup>-</sup> cross sections; TPE cancel in the sum of particle+antiparticle <u>cross sections</u>



### Single-Spin Asymmetries in Elastic Scattering

#### Parity-conserving

. Observed spin-momentum correlation of the type:

$$\vec{s} \cdot \vec{k}_1 \times \vec{k}_2$$

where  $k_{1,2}$  are initial and final electron momenta, *s* is a polarization vector of a target OR beam

• For elastic scattering asymmetries are due to *absorptive part* of 2-photon exchange amplitude

Parity-Violating

$$\vec{s} \cdot \vec{k}_1$$

#### THE GEORGE WASHINGTON UNIVERSITY

WASHINGTON, DC

#### Normal Beam Asymmetry in Moller Scattering

- Pure QED process,  $e^+e^- \rightarrow e^-+e^-$ 
  - . Barut, Fronsdal , Phys.Rev.120:1871 (1960): Calculated the asymmetry in first non-vanishing order in QED  $O(\alpha)$
  - Dixon, Schreiber, Phys.Rev.D69:113001,2004, Erratumibid.D71:059903,2005: Calculated O(α) correction to the asymmetry



SLAC E158 Results [Phys.Rev.Lett. 95 (2005) 081601] An(exp)= $7.04\pm0.25$ (stat) ppm THE GEORAP (theory)= $6.91\pm0.04$  ppm WASHINGTON UNIVERSITY

Andrei Afanasev, The Electroweak Box Workshop, Umass Amherst, Sept 28-30, 2017

### Quark+Nucleon Contributions to Target Asymmetry

- Single-spin asymmetry or polarization normal to the scattering plane
- Handbag mechanism prediction for single-spin asymmetry of elastic eN-scattering on a polarized nucleon target (AA, Brodsky, Carlson, Chen, Vanderhaeghen)

$$A_{n} = \sqrt{\frac{2\varepsilon(1+\varepsilon)}{\tau}} \frac{1}{\sigma_{R}} \left[ G_{E} \operatorname{Im}(A) - \sqrt{\frac{1+\varepsilon}{2\varepsilon}} G_{M} \operatorname{Im}(B) \right] \quad Only$$

Only minor role of quark mass



### Single-spin Asymmetries at JLAB

- Polarized target (He3) JLAB E-05-015 (Zhang et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 115, 172502 (2015))
- Recoil polarimetry (proton): possible but challenging due to systematic corrections



#### THE GEORGE WASHINGTON UNIVERSITY

### Comparison with E158 data



- . SLAC E158:
- An=-2.89±0.36(stat)±0.17(syst) ppm
- (K. Kumar, private communication)
- . Theory (AA, Merenkov):
  - An=-3.2ppm
- Good agreement justifies application of this approach to the real part of twoboson exchange ( $\gamma Z$  box)

#### WASHINGTON, DC

THE GEORGE WASHINGTON UNIVERSITY

# Transverse Beam Asymmetries on Nuclei (HAPPEX+PREX)

Abrahamyan et al, Phys.Rev.Lett. 109 (2012) 192501

- . Good agreement with theory for nucleon and light nuclei
- Puzzling disagreement for <sup>208</sup>Pb measurement; if confirmed, need to include additional electron interaction with highly excited intermediate nuclear state, magnetic terms, etc (= effects of higher order in  $\alpha_{em}$ ). Interesting nuclear effect! Experimentally, need additional measurements for intermediate-mass targets (e.g., Al, Ca, Fe)

Low energy expansion for beam SSA: Diaconescu, Musolf, PRC70 (2004) 054003



Target	Н	$^{4}\mathrm{He}$	$^{12}C$	<sup>208</sup> Pb
$A_{\rm n}({\rm ppm})$	-6.80	-13.97	-6.49	0.28
$\sigma(A_{\rm n})({\rm ppm})$	$\pm 1.54$	$\pm 1.45$	$\pm 0.38$	$\pm 0.25$
$\sqrt{Q^2}$ (GeV)	0.31	0.28	0.099	0.094
A/Z	1.0	2.0	2.0	2.53
$\hat{A}_n$ (ppm/GeV)	-21.9	-24.9	-32.8	+1.2
$\sigma(\hat{A}_n)(\text{ppm/GeV})$	$\pm 5.0$	$\pm 2.6$	$\pm 1.9$	$\pm 1.1$

Comparing with positrons can help to understand disagreement or <sup>208</sup>Pb

Andrei Afanasev, The Electroweak Box Workshop, Umass Amherst, Sept 28-30, 2017

### Two-Photon Exchange in inclusive DIS



- <u>Theory</u>: Afanasev, Strikman, Weiss, **Phys.Rev.D77:014028,2008** 
  - Asymmetry due to  $2\gamma$ -exchange  $\sim 1/137$  suppression
  - Addional suppression due to transversity parton density => predict asymmetry at  $\sim 10^{-4}$  level
  - EM gauge invariance is crucial for cancellation of collinear divergence in theory predictions
  - Hadronic non-perturbative  $\sim 1\%$  vs partonic  $10^{-4}$
- Prediction consistent with HERMES measurements who set upper limits  $\sim (0.6-0.9) \times 10^{-3}$ :

#### THPASS.Reft.B682:351-354,2010 WASHINGTON UNIVERSITY





#### Relating Inclusive SSA to TMDs

- Important: Inclusive asymmetries from TPE, coupling to the same quark vs different quarks A. Metz, D. Pitonyak, A. Schafer, M. Schlegel, W. Vogelsang, J. Zhou, Phys.Rev. D86 (2012) 114020
- . SIDIS: Schlegel, Metz, arXiv:0902.0781

Emphasized  $sin(2\varphi)$  effect for SIDIS arising from two-photon exchange

$$A_{LU}^{\sin(2\phi)} = \alpha \frac{y \left(1 + \frac{2-y}{1-y} \ln y\right)}{1 - y + \frac{1}{2}y^2} \sin(2\phi) \frac{\sum_q e_q^3 \mathscr{C} \left[\frac{2(\vec{h} \cdot \vec{k}_T)(\vec{h} \cdot \vec{p}_T) - \vec{k}_T \cdot \vec{p}_T}{2Mm_{\pi}} h_1^{\perp q} H_1^{\perp q}\right]}{\sum_q e_q^2 \mathscr{C} \left[f_1^q D_1^q\right]}$$

Target asymmetry:

$$A_{UT}(x_{\mathcal{B}}, y, \phi_{s}) = \alpha \frac{x_{\mathcal{B}}M}{2Q} \frac{y(1-y)\sqrt{1-y}}{1-y+\frac{1}{2}y^{2}} |\vec{S}_{T}| \sin(\phi_{s}) \left(\ln \frac{Q^{2}}{\lambda^{2}} + \text{finite}\right) \frac{\sum_{q} e_{q}^{3} g_{T}^{q}(x_{\mathcal{B}})}{\sum_{q} e_{q}^{2} f_{1}^{q}(x_{\mathcal{B}})}$$

# THE GEOR

Andrei Afanasev, The Electroweak Box Workshop, Umass Amherst, Sept 28-30, 2017

#### Experiment in JLAB Hall A

. Katichet al., Phys.Rev.Lett. 113 (2014)022502

- . Shows per-cent level asymmetry in  ${}^{3}\text{He}\uparrow(e,e')X$
- Presents an issue for analysis for TMD extraction from T-odd asymmetries in SIDIS





#### THE GEORGE WASHINGTON UNIVERSITY

Andrei Afanasev, The Electroweak Box Workshop, Umass Amherst, Sept 28-30, 2017

### Two-Photon Exchange in Exclusive Electroproduction of Pions

- Standard contributions considered, e.g., AA, Akushevich, Burkert, Joo, PRD66:074004 (2002) also produced code EXCLURAD for data analysis)
- <u>Additional contributions due to two-photon exchange</u>, calculated by AA, Aleksejevs, Barkanova, PRD88:053008 (2013) Calculated in soft-photon approximation



Andrei Afanasev, The Electroweak Box Workshop, Umass Amherst, Sept 28-30, 2017

### TPE vs T-violation

- . Single-spin asymmetries in inclusive DIS may be caused by
  - . Effects beyond Born approximation
  - . Violation of time-reversal symmetry
- . The effects can be separated using positron vs electron comparison
  - . TPE effects are charge-odd
  - . T-violation is time-even
- . First suggested by Tsai
- Important Note: *if* CPT is a good symmetry, then constraints on CPviolation in leptonic sector is  $<10^{-6}$ . But higher-order QED loops will also produce C-even SSA (three-photon exchange) at a level of  $10^{-4}$ .
- Possible experiment with e<sup>+</sup>/e<sup>-</sup>: Looking for T-violation in lepton scattering at sub-percent level.

#### THE GEORGE WASHINGTON UNIVERSITY

#### Summary

- TPE theory consistent with available e<sup>+</sup>/e<sup>-</sup> data. Data at higher Q<sup>2</sup> is desirable to resolve Rosenbluth-polarization puzzle.
- MUSE is the only planned e+/e-, μ+/μ- proton scattering experiment. Constraining MUSE systematics due to rad.corrections is crucial for the experiment's success
- . SSA due to TPE
  - . Beam asymmetry in good agreement with theory except high-Z target. Raises concern for PREX. Medium-Z (CREX) is important.
  - . Measured target asymmetry appears too unsuppressed ( $\sim 1\%$ ) in conflict with partonic calculations => Issue for TMD program
- T-violation in lepton scattering: SSA technique works up to  $\sim 10^{-4}$ , limitation due to higher-order QED loops (*three*-photon exchange)

Andrei Afanasev, The Electroweak Box Workshop, Umass Amherst, Sept 28-30, 2017

THE GEORGE WASHINGTON UNIVERSITY