#### **T-Violation & Baryogenesis**

M.J. Ramsey-Musolf U Mass Amherst

÷+	
4 <sup>494</sup> 4	

AMHERST CENTER FOR FUNDAMENTAL INTERACTIONS Physics at the interface: Energy, Intensity, and Cosmic frontiers University of Massachusetts Amherst

http://www.physics.umass.edu/acfi/

ACFI TRV Workshop December 2018

## **Themes for This Talk**

- So far, connecting tests of TR invariance in neutron physics with the baryon asymmetry has focused on the neutron EDM
- In this context, the neutron EDM provides an important probe that complements information from paramagnetic systems and diamagnetic atoms
- Non-observations of EDMs place severe but not fatal – constraints on baryogenesis scenarios at the TeV scale & below
- There is room for more thought about connections with other neutron TR tests

## **Goals for This Talk**

- Provide a general context for interpreting EDM experiments
- Illustrate the interplay of EDM searches with TeV scale & below baryogenesis scenarios
- Invite discussion

# **Outline**

- I. EDM's: The SM & BSM context
- *II. The Cosmic Matter-Antimatter Asymmetry*
- III. Electroweak Baryogenesis: Examples
- IV. Post-sphaleron Baryogenesis
- V. Outlook

# I. EDMs: The SM & BSM Context

 $d_n^{SM} \sim (10^{-16} \text{ e cm}) \times \theta_{QCD} + d_n^{CKM}$ 

$$d_n^{SM} \sim (10^{-16} \text{ e cm}) \times \theta_{QCD} + d_n^{CKM}$$
  
 $d_n^{CKM} = (1 - 6) \times 10^{-32} \text{ e cm}$   
C. Seng arXiv: 1411.1476

$$d_n^{SM} \sim (10^{-16} \text{ e cm}) \times \theta_{QCD} + d_n^{CKM}$$
  
 $d_n^{CKM} = (1 - 6) \times 10^{-32} \text{ e cm}^*$   
C. Seng arXiv: 1411.1476

\* 3.3 x 10<sup>-33</sup> e cm <  $d_p$  < 3.3 x 10<sup>-32</sup> e cm

# $d \sim (10^{-16} \text{ e cm}) \times (\upsilon / \Lambda)^2 \times \sin \phi \times y_f F$

$$d \sim (10^{-16} \text{ e cm}) \times (v / \Lambda)^2 \times [\sin \phi] \times y_f F$$
  
CPV Phase: large enough for baryogenesis ?

$$d \sim (10^{-16} \text{ e cm}) x (v / \Lambda)^2 x \sin \phi x y_f F$$
  
BSM mass scale: TeV ? Much higher ?

 $d \sim (10^{-16} \text{ e cm}) \times (\upsilon / \Lambda)^2 \times \sin \phi \times y_f F$ 

BSM dynamics: perturbative? Strongly coupled? Dependence on other parameters ?





- Baryon asymmetry
- High energy collisions
- EDMs

Cosmic Frontier Energy Frontier Intensity Frontier

System	Limit (e cm)*	SM CKM CPV	BSM CPV
<sup>199</sup> Hg	7.4 x 10 <sup>-30</sup>	10 <sup>-33</sup>	<b>10</b> <sup>-29</sup>
ThO	1.1 x 10 <sup>-29</sup> **	10 <sup>-38</sup>	<b>10</b> <sup>-28</sup>
n	3.3 x 10 <sup>-26</sup>	<b>10</b> <sup>-31</sup>	<b>10</b> <sup>-26</sup>

\* 95% CL \*\* e<sup>-</sup> equivalent

System	Limit (e cm)*	SM CKM CPV	BSM CPV
<sup>199</sup> Hg	7.4 x 10 <sup>-30</sup>	10 <sup>-33</sup>	<b>10</b> <sup>-29</sup>
ThO	1.1 x 10 <sup>-29</sup> **	10 <sup>-38</sup>	<b>10</b> <sup>-28</sup>
n	3.3 x 10 <sup>-26</sup>	<b>10</b> <sup>-31</sup>	<b>10</b> <sup>-26</sup>

\* 95% CL \*\* e<sup>-</sup> equivalent

Mass Scale Sensitivity

System	Limit (e cm)*	SM CKM CPV	BSM CPV
<sup>199</sup> Hg	7.4 x 10 <sup>-30</sup>	10 <sup>-33</sup>	<b>10</b> <sup>-29</sup>
ThO	1.1 x 10 <sup>-29</sup> **	10 <sup>-38</sup>	<b>10</b> <sup>-28</sup>
n	3.3 x 10 <sup>-26</sup>	<b>10</b> <sup>-31</sup>	<b>10</b> <sup>-26</sup>

\* 95% CL \*\* e<sup>-</sup> equivalent



Not shown: muon

System	Limit (e cm)*	SM CKM CPV	BSM CPV
<sup>199</sup> Hg	7.4 x 10 <sup>-30</sup>	10 <sup>-33</sup>	<b>10</b> <sup>-29</sup>
ThO	1.1 x 10 <sup>-29</sup> **	<b>10</b> <sup>-38</sup>	<b>10</b> <sup>-28</sup>
n	3.3 x 10 <sup>-26</sup>	<b>10</b> <sup>-31</sup>	<b>10</b> <sup>-26</sup>

\* 95% CL \*\* e<sup>-</sup> equivalent

Mass Scale Sensitivity Challenge for \$  $sin\phi_{CP} \sim 1 \rightarrow M > 5000 \text{ GeV}$ 

 $M < 500 \; GeV \rightarrow \; sin \phi_{CP} < 10^{\text{-}2}$ 

System	Limit (e cm) <sup>*</sup>	SM CKM CPV	BSM CPV
<sup>199</sup> Hg	7.4 x 10 <sup>-30</sup>	10 <sup>-33</sup>	<b>10</b> <sup>-29</sup>
ThO	1.1 x 10 <sup>-29</sup> **	10 <sup>-38</sup>	<b>10</b> <sup>-28</sup>
n	3.3 x 10 <sup>-26</sup>	<b>10</b> <sup>-31</sup>	<b>10</b> <sup>-26</sup>

\* 95% CL \*\* e<sup>-</sup> equivalent

#### Mass Scale Sensitivity



- EDMs arise at > 1 loop
- CPV is flavor non-diagonal
- CPV is "partially secluded"
- CPV is vector-like

System	Limit (e cm)*	SM CKM CPV	BSM CPV
<sup>199</sup> Hg	7.4 x 10 <sup>-30</sup>	10 <sup>-33</sup>	<b>10</b> <sup>-29</sup>
ThO	1.1 x 10 <sup>-29</sup> **	10 <sup>-38</sup>	<b>10</b> <sup>-28</sup>
n	3.3 x 10 <sup>-26</sup>	<b>10</b> <sup>-31</sup>	<b>10</b> <sup>-26</sup>

\* 95% CL \*\* e<sup>-</sup> equivalent

• CPV is vector-like

# CPV for <WBG





## II. The Matter-Antimatter Asymmetry

#### **Cosmic Baryon Asymmetry**

$$Y_B = \frac{n_B}{s} = (8.82 \pm 0.23) \times 10^{-11}$$

#### **One number** $\rightarrow$ **BSM Physics**

#### **Cosmic Baryon Asymmetry**

$$Y_B = \frac{n_B}{s} = (8.82 \pm 0.23) \times 10^{-11}$$

#### **One number** $\rightarrow$ **MAREALE INFLORE Explanations**



#### **Cosmic Baryon Asymmetry**

$$Y_B = \frac{n_B}{s} = (8.82 \pm 0.23) \times 10^{-11}$$

#### One number → M M M ... Explanations

#### Experiment can help:

- Discover ingredients
- Falsify candidates



## **Baryogenesis Scenarios**



26

#### **Baryogenesis Scenarios**



Energy Scale (GeV)

27

## **Electroweak Baryogenesis**

Was Y<sub>B</sub> generated in conjunction with electroweak symmetry-breaking?

## III. Electroweak Baryogenesis

#### • SUSY

Non-SUSY

# **EWBG: Ingredients**

- Strong first order EWPT: LHC → Excluded for the MSSM → Possible w/ extensions (e.g., NMSSM)
- CPV: SUSY: Sources same as in MSSM + possible additional; non-SUSY

# Strong 1<sup>st</sup> Order EWPT





Definitive probe of the possibilities  $\rightarrow$  LHC + next generation colliders

# EDMs & EWBG: MSSM + Singlets



Heavy sfermions: LHC consistent & suppress 1-loop EDMs



Sub-TeV EW-inos: LHC & EWB - viable but non-universal phases

#### EDMs & EWBG: MSSM + Singlets



Heavy sfermions: LHC consistent & suppress 1-loop EDMs



Sub-TeV EW-inos: LHC & EWB - viable but non-universal phases



Li, Profumo, RM '09-'10



# EDMs & EWBG: MSSM + Singlets



Heavy sfermions: LHC consistent & suppress 1-loop EDMs



Sub-TeV EW-inos: LHC & EWB - viable but non-universal phases



# CPV for <WBG





#### EW Multiplets: Two-Step EWPT



• Step 2: EWSB along H


## **Two-Step EW Baryogenesis**

 $H_{i}$ 

φ



BSM Scalar Sector: at least one SU(2)<sub>L</sub> non-singlet plus possibly gauge singlets: "partially secluded sector CPV"



BSM CPV in  $\phi$  H interactions: baryogenesis during step 1

Inoue, Ovanesyan, R-M: 1508.05404; Patel & R-M: 1212.5652; Blinov, Kozaczuk, Morrissey: 1504.05195

## **Two-Step EW Baryogenesis**





Inoue, Ovanesyan, R-M: 1508.05404

#### Illustrative Model:

New sector: "Real Triplet"  $\Sigma$ Gauge singlet S

 $H \rightarrow$  Set of "SM" fields: 2 HDM

(SUSY: "TNMSSM", Coriano...)

Two CPV Phases:



*Triplet phase Singlet phase* 

## **Two-Step EW Baryogenesis & EDMs**



Insensitive to  $\delta_{S}$ : electrically neutral  $\rightarrow$  "partially secluded"

## **Two-Step EW Baryogenesis**

Two cases: (A)  $\delta_{\rm S} = 0$  (B)  $\delta_{\Sigma} = 0$ 



#### Inoue, Ovanesyan, R-M: 1508.05404

## Flavored EW Baryogenesis





Flavor basis (high T)

$$\mathscr{L}_{\text{Yukawa}}^{\text{Lepton}} = -\overline{E_L^i} \left[ (Y_1^E)_{ij} \Phi_1 + (Y_2^E)_{ij} \Phi_2 \right] e_R^j + h.c.$$

Mass basis (T=0)

$$\frac{m_f}{v}\kappa_\tau(\cos\phi_\tau\bar{\tau}\tau + \sin\phi_\tau\bar{\tau}i\gamma_5\tau)h$$

*Guo, Li, Liu, R-M, Shu 1609.09849 Chiang, Fuyuto, Senaha 1607.07316* 

## Flavored EW Baryogenesis





Jarlskog invariant

$$J_{A} = \frac{1}{v^{2} \mu_{12}^{\text{HB}}} \sum_{a,b,c=1}^{2} v_{a} v_{b}^{*} \mu_{bc} \text{Tr} \left[ Y_{c} Y_{a}^{\dagger} \right]$$

T=0 Higgs couplings Im  $(y_{\tau}) \sim Im (J_A)$  EWBG CPV Source  $S^{CPV} \sim Im (J_A)$ 

Flavor basis (high T)

$$\mathscr{L}_{\text{Yukawa}}^{\text{Lepton}} = -\overline{E_L^i} \left[ (Y_1^E)_{ij} \Phi_1 + (Y_2^E)_{ij} \Phi_2 \right] e_R^j + h.c.$$

Mass basis (T=0)  

$$\frac{m_f}{v}\kappa_{ au}(\cos\phi_{ au}ar{ au} au+\sin\phi_{ au}ar{ au}i\gamma_5 au)h$$

*Guo, Li, Liu, R-M, Shu 1609.09849 Chiang, Fuyuto, Senaha 1607.07316* 

## Flavored EW Baryogenesis



## IV. Post-Sphaleron Baryogenesis

- Babu, Mohapatra, Nasri '06
- Babu, Dev, Fortes, Mohapatra '13
- Bell, Corbett, Nee, R-M '18

# Model

Field	$SU(3)_C$	$SU(2)_L$	$U(1)_Y$	couplings
$\Delta_{dd}$	6	1	-2/3	$d_R d_R$
$\Delta_{uu}$	6	1	4/3	$u_R u_R$
$\Delta_{ud}$	6	1	1/3	$u_R d_R$
$\Phi$	1	1	0	$\Delta_{dd}\Delta_{ud}^2,  \Delta_{uu}\Delta_{dd}^2$

Field Content: New Scalars

$$V \supset \frac{\lambda}{2} \Phi \Delta_{dd} \Delta_{ud}^2 + \frac{\lambda'}{2} \Phi \Delta_{uu} \Delta_{dd}^2.$$

**BMN** original

 $+\frac{f_{\alpha\beta}}{2}\bar{K}\Delta_{uu}(\bar{u}_R)_{\alpha}(u_R)^c_{\beta}$ 

 $+g_{\alpha\beta}\bar{K}\Delta_{ud}(\bar{u}_R)_{\alpha}(d_R)^c_{\beta}$  $+g'_{\alpha\beta}\bar{K}\Delta_{ud}\epsilon_{ij}(\bar{Q}_i)_{\alpha}(Q_j)^c_{\beta}+h.c., BCNR-M$ 

 $\mathcal{L}_{\text{Yukawa}} = \frac{h_{\alpha\beta}}{2} \bar{K} \Delta_{dd} (\bar{d}_R)_{\alpha} (d_R)_{\beta}^c$ 

Yukawa Interactions

# **Baryogenesis**

 $\Delta B = 2$  decays



# **Constraints**

#### **EDMs**

$$d_{n} = \sum_{q=u,d} \frac{v^{2}}{M_{\Delta_{ud}}^{2}} \left(\beta_{n}^{q\gamma} \operatorname{Im}[c_{q\gamma}] + \beta_{n}^{qG} \operatorname{Im}[c_{uG}]\right) \qquad \mathbf{d}_{q}$$

$$\bar{g}_{\pi}^{(i)} = \frac{v^{2}}{M_{\Delta_{ud}}^{2}} \gamma_{(i)}^{\pm G} \left(\operatorname{Im}[c_{uG}] \pm \operatorname{Im}[c_{dG}]\right) \qquad \mathbf{\tilde{d}}_{q}$$

Quark mass



# **Baryon Asymmetry**

#### **EDM Constraints**



- Original BMN: G' = 0 (RH quarks only)
- Non-zero EDMs: G, G' non-vanishing
- Largest BAU: G = 0, G' non-vanishing, EDM compatible



	$\epsilon_{\rm wave}(M_{\Phi} = 8 \text{ TeV})$	$\epsilon_{\rm vertex}(M_{\Phi} = 8 { m TeV})$	Dilution Factor $(M_{\Phi} = 8 \text{ TeV})$
$G_{\alpha\beta} \sim 1,  G'_{\alpha\beta} = 0$	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-2}$
$G'_{\alpha\beta} \sim 1, \ G_{\alpha\beta} = 0$	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-8}$	$10^{-2}$
$G_{\alpha\beta} \sim G'_{\alpha\beta} \sim 10^{-3}$	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-6}$	$10^{-5}$

# IV. Outlook

- Searches for permanent EDMs of atoms, molecules, hadrons and nuclei provide powerful probes of BSM physics at the TeV scale and above and constitute important tests of < weak scale baryogenesis</li>
- Studies on complementary systems is essential for first finding and then disentangling new CPV & testing EWBG
- EWBG remains an important baryogenesis scenario for which definitive tests will likely require next generation EDM & collider studies<sup>\*\*</sup>



# **Higgs Portal CPV**

Inoue, R-M, Zhang: 1403.4257

CPV & 2HDM: Type I & II



#### What is the CP Nature of the Higgs Boson ?

- Interesting possibilities if part of an extended scalar sector
- Two Higgs doublets ?

 $H 
ightarrow H_1$  ,  $H_2$ 

• New parameters:

 $tan \beta = \langle H_1 \rangle / \langle H_2 \rangle$ sin  $\alpha_b$ 

#### What is the CP Nature of the Higgs Boson ?

- Interesting possibilities if part of an extended scalar sector
- Two Higgs doublets ?

 $H 
ightarrow H_1$  ,  $H_2$ 

• New parameters:

$$\frac{\tan \beta}{\beta} = \langle H_1 \rangle / \langle H_2 \rangle$$

$$sin \alpha_b$$

$$CPV : scalar-pseudoscalar$$

$$mixing from V(H_1, H_2)$$

# **Higgs Portal CPV**

Inoue, R-M, Zhang: 1403.4257

CPV & 2HDM: Type I & II

 $\lambda_{6,7} = 0$  for simplicity

$$V = \frac{\lambda_1}{2} (\phi_1^{\dagger} \phi_1)^2 + \frac{\lambda_2}{2} (\phi_2^{\dagger} \phi_2)^2 + \lambda_3 (\phi_1^{\dagger} \phi_1) (\phi_2^{\dagger} \phi_2) + \lambda_4 (\phi_1^{\dagger} \phi_2) (\phi_2^{\dagger} \phi_1) + \frac{1}{2} \left[ \lambda_5 (\phi_1^{\dagger} \phi_2)^2 + \text{h.c.} \right] \\ - \frac{1}{2} \left\{ m_{11}^2 (\phi_1^{\dagger} \phi_1) + \left[ m_{12}^2 (\phi_1^{\dagger} \phi_2) + \text{h.c.} \right] + m_{22}^2 (\phi_2^{\dagger} \phi_2) \right\}.$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} -s_{\alpha}c_{\alpha_b} & c_{\alpha}c_{\alpha_b} & s_{\alpha_b} \\ s_{\alpha}s_{\alpha_b}s_{\alpha_c} - c_{\alpha}c_{\alpha_c} & -s_{\alpha}c_{\alpha_c} - c_{\alpha}s_{\alpha_b}s_{\alpha_c} & c_{\alpha_b}s_{\alpha_c} \\ s_{\alpha}s_{\alpha_b}c_{\alpha_c} + c_{\alpha}s_{\alpha_c} & s_{\alpha}s_{\alpha_c} - c_{\alpha}s_{\alpha_b}c_{\alpha_c} & c_{\alpha_b}c_{\alpha_c} \end{pmatrix}$$



## **Higgs Portal CPV**

Inoue, R-M, Zhang: 1403.4257

CPV & 2HDM: Type I & II

 $\lambda_{6,7} = 0$  for simplicity

$$V = \frac{\lambda_1}{2} (\phi_1^{\dagger} \phi_1)^2 + \frac{\lambda_2}{2} (\phi_2^{\dagger} \phi_2)^2 + \lambda_3 (\phi_1^{\dagger} \phi_1) (\phi_2^{\dagger} \phi_2) + \lambda_4 (\phi_1^{\dagger} \phi_2) (\phi_2^{\dagger} \phi_1) + \frac{1}{2} \left[ \lambda_5 (\phi_1^{\dagger} \phi_2)^2 + \text{h.c.} \right] \\ - \frac{1}{2} \left\{ m_{11}^2 (\phi_1^{\dagger} \phi_1) + \left[ m_{12}^2 (\phi_1^{\dagger} \phi_2) + \text{h.c.} \right] + m_{22}^2 (\phi_2^{\dagger} \phi_2) \right\}.$$



CP mixing:  $\alpha_b \& \alpha_c$  not independent

## **Higgs Portal CPV: EDMs**

#### CPV & 2HDM: Type II illustration

#### $\lambda_{6.7} = 0$ for simplicity



Present

 $sin \alpha_b$  : CPV scalar mixing

Future:
d <sub>n</sub> x 0.01
<i>d<sub>A</sub>(Hg)</i> x 0.1
d <sub>ThO</sub> x 0.1
d <sub>A</sub> (Ra)

Inoue, R-M, Zhang: 1403.4257

## Low-Energy / High-Energy Interplay

#### **Higgs Portal CPV: Source for EWBG?**

Dorsch et al, 1611.05874



 $lpha_b \propto \delta_1$  –  $\delta_2$ 

## **Higgs Portal CPV: EDMs**

CPV & 2HDM: Type II illustration

 $\lambda_{6.7} = 0$  for simplicity



sin  $\alpha_b$  : CPV scalar mixing

Future:Future: $d_n \ge 0.1$  $d_n \ge 0.01$  $d_A(Hg) \ge 0.1$  $d_A(Hg) \ge 0.1$  $d_{ThO} \ge 0.1$  $d_{ThO} \ge 0.1$  $d_A(Ra) [10^{-27} e cm]$  $d_A(Ra)$ 

58

Inoue, R-M, Zhang: 1403.4257

## **Higgs Portal CPV: EDMs & LHC**

#### CPV & 2HDM: Type II illustration

 $\lambda_{6.7} = 0$  for simplicity

 $d_A(Ra)$ 

Inoue, R-M, Zhang: 1403.4257

59



*d*<sub>₄</sub>(*Ra*) [10<sup>-27</sup> e cm]

 $sin \alpha_b$  : CPV scalar mixing

## **Higgs Portal CPV: EDMs & LHC**

#### CPV & 2HDM: Type II illustration

 $\lambda_{6.7} = 0$  for simplicity



Inoue, R-M, Zhang: 1403.4257

## **Higgs Portal CPV: EDMs & LHC**

#### CPV & 2HDM: Type II illustration

 $\lambda_{6.7} = 0$  for simplicity



Inoue, R-M, Zhang: 1403.4257

## Had & Nuc Uncertainties

CPV & 2HDM: Type II illustration

#### $\lambda_{6,7} = 0$ for simplicity



Present

 $sin \alpha_b$  : CPV scalar mixing

## Had & Nuc Uncertainties

CPV & 2HDM: Type II illustration

#### $\lambda_{6,7} = 0$ for simplicity



Present

# Challenge

 $sin \alpha_b$  : CPV scalar mixing

Inoue, R-M, Zhang: 1403.4257

## **EW Phase Transition: Higgs Portal**



## **EW Phase Transition: Higgs Portal**





- Renormalizable
- φ : singlet or charged under SU(2)<sub>L</sub> x U(1)<sub>Y</sub>
- Generic features of full theory (NMSSM, GUTS...)
- More robust vacuum stability
- Novel patterns of SSB

# **Higgs Portal: Simple Scalar Extensions**

Extension	DOF	EWPT	DM
Real singlet: 🗙	1	~	*
Real singlet: $Z_2$	1	~	~
Complex Singlet	2	~	~
EW Multiplets	3+	~	~

May be low-energy remnants of UV complete theory & illustrative of generic features

# **Higgs Portal: Simple Scalar Extensions**

Extension	DOF	EWPT	DM
Real singlet:	1	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	*
Real singlet: Z <sub>2</sub>	1	~	~
Complex Singlet	2	~	~
EW Multiplets	3+	~	~

May be low-energy remnants of UV complete theory & illustrative of generic features (NMSSM...)





















Modified Higgs Self-Coupling












## EW Multiplets: Two-Step EWPT







Patel, R-M: arXiv 1212.5652 ; Blinov et al: 1505.05195

## EW Multiplets: Two-Step EWPT





Patel, R-M: arXiv 1212.5652 ; Blinov et al: 1505.05195

## EW Multiplets: Two-Step EWPT



Patel, R-M: arXiv 1212.5652 ; Blinov et al: 1505.05195