# *Ονββ* and EDMs: Energy Frontier Connections

#### M.J. Ramsey-Musolf U Mass Amherst



AMHERST CENTER FOR FUNDAMENTAL INTERACTIONS Physics at the interface: Energy, Intensity, and Cosmic frontiers University of Massachusetts Amherst

#### http://www.physics.umass.edu/acfi/

DBD Topical Collaboration Meeting, February 2017



#### **Goals For This Talk**

- Provide some context for the heavy particle exchange mechanism for  $0\nu\beta\beta$  decay
- Discuss some recent work on the interplay of 0vββ – decay and EDM searches with energy frontier searches
- Put the need for refined hadronic and nuclear matrix element computations in the broader BSM context

#### *Ονββ-Decay: TeV Scale LNV*

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{mass}} = y \bar{L} \tilde{H} \nu_R + \text{h.c.}$$

Dirac

$$\mathcal{C}_{\text{mass}} = \frac{y}{\Lambda} \bar{L}^c H H^T L + \text{h.c.}$$

Majorana

#### Benchmark Sensitivity: TeV LNV



T. Peng, MRM, P. Winslow 1508.04444

#### Future Reach: Higgs Portal CPV

#### CPV & 2HDM: Type II illustration

 $\lambda_{6.7} = 0$  for simplicity



P	re	S	en	nt
	_	_		

 $sin \alpha_b$  : CPV scalar mixing

Future:	Future:	
d <sub>n</sub> x 0.1	d <sub>n</sub> x 0.01	
d <sub>A</sub> (Hg) x 0.1	d <sub>A</sub> (Hg) x 0.1	
d <sub>ThO</sub> x 0.1	d <sub>ThO</sub> x 0.1	
d <sub>A</sub> (Ra) [10⁻²² e cm]	d <sub>A</sub> (Ra)	

Inoue, R-M, Zhang: 1403.4257

4

#### **Higgs Portal CPV: EDMs & LHC**

#### CPV & 2HDM: Type II illustration

 $\lambda_{6.7} = 0$  for simplicity



Present

 $sin \alpha_b$  : CPV scalar mixing

Future:	Future:
d <sub>n</sub> x 0.1	<i>d<sub>n</sub></i> x 0.01
d <sub>A</sub> (Hg) x 0.1	<i>d<sub>A</sub>(Hg)</i> x 0.1
d <sub>ThO</sub> x 0.1	d <sub>ThO</sub> x 0.1
d <sub>A</sub> (Ra) [10⁻²² e cm]	d <sub>A</sub> (Ra)

Inoue, R-M, Zhang: 1403.4257

5

#### Had & Nuc Uncertainties

CPV & 2HDM: Type II illustration

#### $\lambda_{6,7} = 0$ for simplicity



Present

# Challenge for Theory

 $sin \alpha_b$  : CPV scalar mixing

Inoue, R-M, Zhang: 1403.4257

#### **Outline**

- I. BSM Context
- II. LNV:  $0\nu\beta\beta$  Decay Mechanisms
- III. The "Standard Mechanism" : Lightning Review
- IV. TeV Scale LNV:  $0\nu\beta\beta$  Decay & the LHC
- V. EDMs & the LHC: Higgs Portal CPV
- VI. Summary
- VII. Back Up Slides: Sterile Neutrinos,  $0\nu\beta\beta$  Decay Effective Theory

## I. The BSM Context

- What is the origin of matter (luminous & dark) ?
- Why are neutrino masses so small ?
- Are fundamental interactions "natural"?



- What is the origin of matter (luminous & dark) ?
- Why are neutrino masses so small ?
- Are fundamental interactions "natural"?



- What is the origin of matter (luminous & dark) ?
- Why are neutrino masses so small ?
- Are fundamental interactions "natural"?



- What is the origin of matter (luminous & dark) ?
- Why are neutrino masses so small ?
- Are fundamental interactions "natural" ?

LNV Searches:  $0\nu\beta\beta$  Decay + ...



# How "Natural" is $m_{\nu}$ ?

Dirac Mass:	$m_v = y v$	
	v = 246 GeV $\rightarrow$	<b>y ~ 10</b> <sup>-12</sup>
Majorana Mass:	$m_v = y v^2 / \Lambda$	
	v = 246  GeV & $y \sim O(1) \rightarrow$	Λ <b>~ 10</b> <sup>14</sup> GeV

#### How "Natural" is $m_{v}$ ?

Dirac Mass:	$m_{\nu} = y v$	
	$v$ = 246 GeV $\rightarrow$	<b>y ~ 10</b> <sup>-12</sup>
Majorana Mass:	$m_v = y v^2 / \Lambda$	
	v = 246  GeV & $y \sim O(1) \rightarrow$	Λ ~ 10¹⁴ GeV

#### How reliable a guide is naturalness ?

#### **BSM Physics: Where Does it Live ?**



Coupling

#### **BSM Physics: Where Does it Live ?**



#### **BSM Physics: Where Does it Live ?**



17

- What is the origin of matter (luminous & dark) ?
- Why are neutrino masses so small ?
- Are fundamental interactions "natural" ?

Discovering answers requires studies at three frontiers: energy, intensity, & cosmic.

\*Partial List

## Low-Energy / High-Energy Interplay



## Low-Energy / High-Energy Interplay



# *II. LNV: 0νββ* – *Decay Mechanisms*

# *0vββ-Decay: LNV? Mass Term?*

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{mass}} = y \bar{L} \tilde{H} \nu_R + \text{h.c.} \qquad \mathcal{L}_{\text{mass}} = \frac{y}{\Lambda} \bar{L}^c H H^T L + \text{h.c.}$$
  
Dirac Majorana

## *Ονββ-Decay: LNV? Mass Term?*

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{mass}} = y \bar{L} \tilde{H} \nu_R + \text{h.c.}$$

Dirac

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{mass}} = \frac{y}{\Lambda} \bar{L}^c H H^T L + \text{h.c.}$$

Majorana



#### *0vββ-Decay: LNV? Mass Term?*

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{mass}} = y \bar{L} \tilde{H} \nu_R + \text{h.c.}$$

Dirac

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{mass}} = \frac{y}{\Lambda} \bar{L}^c H H^T L + \text{h.c.}$$

Majorana

#### Impact of observation

- Total lepton number not conserved at classical level
- New mass scale in nature,  $\Lambda$
- Key ingredient for standard baryogenesis via leptogenesis



#### *0vββ-Decay: Mechanisms*



## III. The "Standard Mechanism"

#### *Ονββ-Decay: "Standard" Mechanism*



#### *0vββ-Decay: LNV? Mass Term?*

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{mass}} = y \bar{L} \tilde{H} \nu_R + \text{h.c.}$$

Dirac

$$\mathcal{C}_{\text{mass}} = \frac{y}{\Lambda} \bar{L}^c H H^T L + \text{h.c.}$$

Majorana

#### "Standard" Mechanism

- Light Majorana mass generated at the conventional see-saw scale: Λ ~ 10<sup>12</sup> – 10<sup>15</sup> GeV
- 3 light Majorana neutrinos mediate decay process



#### *0vββ-Decay: LNV? Mass Term?*



29

#### **Neutrinos and the Origin of Matter**

- Heavy neutrinos decay out of equilibrium in early universe
- Majorana neutrinos can decay to particles and antiparticles
- Rates can be slightly different (CP violation)

 $\Gamma(N \to \ell H) \neq \Gamma(N \to \bar{\ell} H^*)$ 

• Resulting excess of leptons over anti-leptons partially converted into excess of quarks over anti-quarks by Standard Model sphalerons

## **Neutrinos and the Origin of Matter**

- Heavy neutrinos decay out of equilibrium in early universe
- Majorana neutrinos can decay to particles and antiparticles
- Rates can be slightly different (CP violation)

 $\Gamma(N \to \ell H) \neq \Gamma(N \to \bar{\ell} H^*)$ 

• Resulting excess of leptons over anti-leptons partially converted into excess of quarks over anti-quarks by Standard Model sphalerons

#### *0vββ-Decay: LNV? Mass Term?*



#### *0vββ-Decay* Sensitivity







# Why Might A "Ton-Scale" Exp't See It?

#### Three active light neutrinos



## Interpreting the Result


## IV. TeV-Scale LNV: $0\nu\beta\beta$ – Decay & The LHC

# Why Might A "Ton-Scale" Exp't See It?



*Two parameters: Effective coupling & effective heavy particle mass* 

# *0vββ-Decay: LNV? Mass Term?*

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{mass}} = y \bar{L} \tilde{H} \nu_R + \text{h.c.}$$

Dirac

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{mass}} = \frac{y}{\Lambda} \bar{L}^c H H^T L + \text{h.c.}$$

Majorana

### **TeV LNV Mechanism**

- Majorana mass generated at the TeV scale
  - Low-scale see-saw
  - Radiative  $m_v$
- *m<sub>MIN</sub>* << 0.01 eV but 0vββ-signal accessible with tonne-scale exp'ts due to heavy Majorana particle exchange



$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{mass}} = y \bar{L} \tilde{H} \nu_R + \text{h.c.}$$

Dirac

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{mass}} = \frac{y}{\Lambda} \bar{L}^c H H^T L + \text{h.c.}$$

Majorana

Mechanism: does light  $v_M$  exchange dominate ?



O(1) for  $\Lambda \sim TeV$ 

How to calc effects reliably ? How to disentangle H & L ? Theory Challenge: matrix elements + mechanism

$$\langle m_{v} \rangle^{EFF} = \sum_{k} \left| U_{ek} \right|^{2} m_{k} e^{2i\delta}$$



$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{mass}} = y \bar{L} \tilde{H} \nu_R + \text{h.c.}$$

Dirac

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{mass}} = \frac{y}{\Lambda} \bar{L}^c H H^T L + \text{h.c.}$$

Majorana

#### LNV at the LHC

	Model	ℓ,γ	Jets	Emiss	∫£ dt[fb	Mass limit		Reference
A	DD G <sub>RK</sub> + g/g	-	1-2]	Yes	4.7	4.37 TeV n-2		1210.4491
A	DD non-resonant (/	2e, µ	-	-	20.3	5.2 TeV n - 3	HLZ	ATLAS-CONF-2014-0
A	DD QBH → ℓq	1 e, µ	1)	-	20.3	5.2 TeV n-6		1311.2006
A A	DD QBH	-	2)	-	20.3	5.82 TeV n-6		to be submitted to PF
	DD BH high Nork	2 µ (SS)	-	-	20.3	5.7 TeV n - 6.	M <sub>D</sub> = 1.5 TeV, non-rot BH	1308.4075
A A	DD BH high $\sum PT$	$\geq 1 e, \mu$	≥ 2 ]	-	20.3	6.2 TeV n - 6,	, M <sub>D</sub> = 1.5 TeV, non-rot BH	1405.4254
R	S1 $G_{KK} \rightarrow \ell \ell$	2 e, µ	-	-	20.3	2.68 TeV	y = 0.1	1405.4123
R	S1 $G_{KK} \rightarrow WW \rightarrow \ell \nu \ell \nu$	2 e, µ	-	Yes	4.7	1.23 TeV k/Mp	n - 0.1	1208.2880
B	ulk RS $G_{KK} \rightarrow ZZ \rightarrow \ell \ell q q$	2 c, µ	2j/1J	-	20.3	s 730 GeV k/Mp	n - 1.0	ATLAS-CONF-2014-
B	ulk RS $G_{KK} \rightarrow HH \rightarrow b\bar{b}b\bar{b}$	-	4 b	-	19.5	is 590-710 GeV 🔜 k/M <sub>P</sub>	m - 1.0	ATLAS-CONF-2014-
B	ulk RS gKK → tŤ	1 e, µ	$\geq 1$ b, $\geq 1 J/2$	2) Yes	14.3	6 2.0 TeV BR = 0	0.925	ATLAS-CONF-2013-
5	<sup>1</sup> /Z <sub>2</sub> ED	2 e, µ	-	-	5.0	2-1 4.71 TeV		1209.2535
U	ED	2γ	-	Yes	4.8	acale R <sup>-1</sup> 1.41 TeV		ATLAS-CONF-2012-
S	$SM Z' \rightarrow \ell\ell$	2 e, µ	-	-	20.3	2.9 TeV		1405.4123
S	SM $Z' \rightarrow \tau \tau$	2 7	-	-	19.5	1.9 ToV		ATLAS-CONF-2013
S	$SM W' \rightarrow \ell r$	1 e, µ	-	Yes	20.3	3.28 TeV		ATLAS-CONF-2014
Ð	$GM W' \rightarrow WZ \rightarrow \ell_Y \ell' \ell'$	3 e, µ	-	Yes	20.3	1.52 TeV		1406.4456
Ð	$GM W' \rightarrow WZ \rightarrow qq\ell\ell$	2 c, µ	2j/1J	-	20.3	1.59 TeV		ATLAS-CONF-2014-
U	$RSM W'_R \rightarrow t\overline{b}$	1 e, µ	2 b, 0-1 j	Yes	14.3	1.84 TeV		ATLAS-CONF-2013-
U	$RSM W'_R \rightarrow t\overline{b}$	0 e, µ	≥ 1 b, 1 J	-	20.3	1.77 TeV		to be submitted to EI
C	l qqqq	-	2)	-	4.8	7.6 TeV 7-+	-1	1210.1718
5 c	1 qqtt	2 e, µ	-	-	20.3	21	.6 TeV nu 1	ATLAS-CONF-2014-
c	uutt	2 e, µ (SS	) ≥ 1 b, ≥ 1 j	Yes	14.3	3.3 TeV	1	ATLAS-CONF-2013-
E	FT D5 operator (Dirac)	0 c. u	1-21	Yes	10.5	731 GeV # 90%	$S_{0} CL \text{ for } m(y) < 80 \text{ GeV}$	ATLAS-CONF-2012-
E	FT D9 operator (Dirac)	0 e. u	1.1<11	Yes	20.3	2.4 TeV # 909	% CL for m(y) < 100 GeV	1309.4017
<u>َ</u>	catar LQ 1- gen	2.0	2 2 1	-	1.0	600 GeV p-1		1112.4628
1 3	calar LQ 2 <sup></sup> gen	1	16.11		1.0	600 GeV p=1		1203.3172
	alar culor- gen	10, 0, 13	10,11	_	4.7	034 G6V		1303.0520
W	ector-like quark $TT \rightarrow Ht + X$	1 e, µ	≥2b,≥4j	Yes	14.3	790 GeV T in (T	(B) doublet	ATLAS-CONF-2013-
5 M	sctor-like quark $TT \rightarrow Wb + X$	1 e, µ	≥1b,≥3j	Yes	14.3	670 GeV kospi	n singlet	ATLAS-CONF-2013
S	sctor-like quark TT → Zt + A	2/≥3 e, µ	≥2/≥10	-	20.3	735 GeV 1 In (I	(B) doublet	ATLAS-CONF-2014
<b>G</b> M	ector-like quark $BB \rightarrow ZB + A$	2/≥3 e, µ	≥2/≥1 b		20.3	755 GeV Bin (E	B,Y) doublet	ATLAS-CONF-2014
v	actor-line quark BB -> Wt + X	2 c,µ (88	) ≥ 10, ≥ 1]	185	14.3	720 GeV Bin (1	I,D) COUCHER	ALLAS-CONF-2013
E E	cited quark $q^* \rightarrow q \gamma$	1γ	1)	-	20.3	3.5 TeV only u	r and d+, ∧ - m(q+)	1309.3230
-P E	scited quark $q^* \rightarrow qg$	-	2]	-	20.3	4.09 TeV only u	i* and d*, Λ — m(q*)	to be submitted to P
E	cited quark $b^* \rightarrow Wt$	1 or 2 e, p	1 b, 2 j or 1	Yes	4.7	870 GeV kit-ha	unded coupling	1301.1583
e E	scited lepton $\ell^* \rightarrow \ell \gamma$	2 e, µ, 1 🤉		-	13.0	2.2 TeV A - 2.	12 TeV	1308.1364
L	STC at $\rightarrow W_Y$	1 c. µ. 1 3		Yes	20.3	960 GeV		to be submitted to P
L	RSM Majorana v	2 e, µ	2]	-	2.1	1.5 TeV m(WA	R) - 2 TeV, no mixing	1203.5420
							0.055,  V_s =0.063,  V_r =0	ATLAS-CONF-2013-
н	iggs triplet $H^{++} \rightarrow \ell \ell$	2 e, µ (SS	) –	-	4.7	n 409 GeV DY pro	oduction, BR( $H^{**} \rightarrow \ell \ell$ )=1	1210.5070
	We observed a settled as					DY pro	oduction,  g  - 4e	1301.5272
M	agnetic monopoles	-	-	-	2.0	e mass 862 GeV DY pro	oduction,  g  - 1g <sub>D</sub>	1207.6411
	-							

Theory Challenge: matrix elements + mechanism

$$\langle m_{v} \rangle^{EFF} = \sum_{k} \left| U_{ek} \right|^{2} m_{k} e^{2i\delta}$$







$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{mass}} = y \bar{L} \tilde{H} \nu_R + \text{h.c.} \qquad \mathcal{L}_{\text{mass}} = \frac{y}{\Lambda} \bar{L}^c H H^T L + \text{h.c.}$$
  
Dirac Majorana



$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{mass}} = y \bar{L} \tilde{H} \nu_R + \text{h.c.} \qquad \mathcal{L}_{\text{mass}} = \frac{y}{\Lambda} \bar{L}^c H H^T L + \text{h.c.}$$
  
Dirac Majorana



$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{mass}} = y \bar{L} \tilde{H} \nu_R + \text{h.c.} \qquad \mathcal{L}_{\text{mass}} = \frac{y}{\Lambda} \bar{L}^c H H^T L + \text{h.c.}$$
  
Dirac Majorana

### General Classification: Helo et al, PRD 88.011901, 88.073011



45

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{mass}} = y \bar{L} \tilde{H} \nu_R + \text{h.c.} \qquad \mathcal{L}_{\text{mass}} = \frac{y}{\Lambda} \bar{L}^c H H^T L + \text{h.c.}$$
  
Dirac Majorana



$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{mass}} = y \bar{L} \tilde{H} \nu_R + \text{h.c.} \qquad \mathcal{L}_{\text{mass}} = \frac{y}{\Lambda} \bar{L}^c H H^T L + \text{h.c.}$$
  
Dirac Majorana

## **Other Models: Back Up Slides**

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{mass}} = y \bar{L} \tilde{H} \nu_R + \text{h.c.} \qquad \mathcal{L}_{\text{mass}} = \frac{y}{\Lambda} \bar{L}^c H H^T L + \text{h.c.}$$
  
Dirac Majorana

### What can we learn from the LHC?

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{mass}} = y \bar{L} \tilde{H} \nu_R + \text{h.c.} \qquad \mathcal{L}_{\text{mass}} = \frac{y}{\Lambda} \bar{L}^c H H^T L + \text{h.c.}$$
  
Dirac Majorana

LHC Production



LHC: 
$$pp \rightarrow jj e^-e^-$$



LHC:  $pp \rightarrow jjj e^-e^-$ 

49

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{mass}} = y \bar{L} \tilde{H} \nu_R + \text{h.c.}$$

Dirac

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{mass}} = \frac{y}{\Lambda} \bar{L}^c H H^T L + \text{h.c.}$$

Majorana

### LHC Production & $0\nu\beta\beta$ -Decay



Helo et al, PRD 88.011901, 88.073011



 $e^{-}$ 

 $e^{-}$ 

u

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{mass}} = y \bar{L} \tilde{H} \nu_R + \text{h.c.}$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{mass}} = \frac{y}{\Lambda} \bar{L}^c H H^T L + \text{h.c.}$$

**Illustrative Simplified** 

 $\mathcal{L}_{\text{eff}} = C_1 \bar{Q}_L^{\alpha} d_{R\alpha} D + C_2 \epsilon^{ij} \bar{L}_L^i F D^{*j}$ 

-1/6 -1/3 1/2

Majorana

LHC:  $pp \rightarrow jj e^-e^-$ 



d

 $\partial \nu \beta \beta$  - decay

 $D^{T} = (S^{+}, S^{0})$ 

Model:

Υ

1/2 0 -1/2

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{mass}} = y \bar{L} \tilde{H} \nu_R + \text{h.c.} \qquad \mathcal{L}_{\text{mass}} = \frac{y}{\Lambda} \bar{L}^c H H^T L + \text{h.c.}$$
Dirac
Majorana
Helo et al claim:
$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{eff}} = C_1 \bar{Q}_L^\alpha d_{R\alpha} D + C_2 \epsilon^{ij} \bar{L}_L^i F D^{*j}}_{Y - 1/6 - 1/3 1/2} \frac{1/2 0 - 1/2}{1/2 0 - 1/2}$$

$$\int_{C_j} \left( \int_{0}^{10} \int$$





$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{mass}} = y \bar{L} \tilde{H} \nu_R + \text{h.c.}$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{mass}} = \frac{y}{\Lambda} \bar{L}^c H H^T L + \text{h.c.}$$

Majorana



### **TeV Scale LNV**

Can it be discovered with combination of  $0\nu\beta\beta$  & LHC searches ?

Simplified models

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{mass}} = y \bar{L} \tilde{H} \nu_R + \text{h.c.}$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{mass}} = \frac{y}{\Lambda} \bar{L}^c H H^T L + \text{h.c.}$$

Majorana





### **TeV Scale LNV**

Effective operators:

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{LNV}}^{\mathrm{eff}} &= \frac{C_1}{\Lambda^5} \mathcal{O}_1 + \mathrm{h.c.} \\ \mathcal{O}_1 &= \bar{Q} \tau^+ d \bar{Q} \tau^+ d \bar{L} L^C \end{split}$$

$$g_{\rm eff} = C_1(\Lambda)^{1/4}$$

56

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{mass}} = y \bar{L} \tilde{H} \nu_R + \text{h.c.} \qquad \mathcal{L}_{\text{mass}} = \frac{y}{\Lambda} \bar{L}^c H H^T L + \text{h.c.}$$
  
Dirac Majorana

Our reanalysis:

- Include backgrounds
- Incorporate QCD running
- Include long-distance contributions to nuclear matrix elements

T. Peng, MJRM, P. Winslow, 1508.04444

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{mass}} = y \bar{L} \tilde{H} \nu_R + \text{h.c.} \qquad \mathcal{L}_{\text{mass}} = \frac{y}{\Lambda} \bar{L}^c H H^T L + \text{h.c.}$$
  
Dirac Majorana

Backgrounds:

- Charge flip
- Jet faking electron

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{mass}} = y \bar{L} \tilde{H} \nu_R + \text{h.c.}$$

Dirac

$$\mathcal{C}_{\text{mass}} = \frac{y}{\Lambda} \bar{L}^c H H^T L + \text{h.c.}$$

Majorana

Backgrounds:

- Charge flip
- Jet faking electron



e<sup>+</sup> transfers most of  $p_T$  to conversion e<sup>-</sup>; Z /  $\gamma^*$  + jets  $\rightarrow$  apparent e<sup>-</sup> e<sup>-</sup> jj event

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{mass}} = y \bar{L} \tilde{H} \nu_R + \text{h.c.}$$

Dirac

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{mass}} = \frac{y}{\Lambda} \bar{L}^c H H^T L + \text{h.c.}$$

Majorana

Backgrounds:

- Charge flip
- Jet faking electron



 $e^+$  transfers most of  $p_T$  to conversion  $e^-$ ; b's not tagged  $\rightarrow$  apparent  $e^- e^-$  jj event 60

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{mass}} = y \bar{L} \tilde{H} \nu_R + \text{h.c.} \qquad \mathcal{L}_{\text{mass}} = \frac{y}{\Lambda} \bar{L}^c H H^T L + \text{h.c.}$$
  
Dirac Majorana

**Backgrounds:** Bin in  $\eta$  and apply charge flip prob



61



$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{mass}} = y \bar{L} \tilde{H} \nu_R + \text{h.c.} \qquad \mathcal{L}_{\text{mass}} = \frac{y}{\Lambda} \bar{L}^c H H^T L + \text{h.c.}$$
  
Dirac Majorana

Backgrounds: Cuts

- $H_T$
- MET
- M<sub>//</sub>

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{mass}} = y \bar{L} \tilde{H} \nu_R + \text{h.c.}$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{mass}} = \frac{y}{\Lambda} \bar{L}^c H H^T L + \text{h.c.}$$

Dirac

Majorana

### Backgrounds: Cuts

$\sigma(\mathbf{fb})$	Signal		$\frac{s}{\sqrt{s+B}}$ ( $\sqrt{fb}$ )								
		Diboson			Charge Flip		Jet Fake				
		$W^-W^-+2j$	$W^-Z+2j$	ZZ+2j	$Z/\gamma^*+2j$	$t\overline{t}$	$t\overline{t}$	$\overline{t}$ +3j	$W^-+3j$	4j	
Before Cuts	0.142	0.541	6.682	0.628	903.16	68.2	6.7	0.45	15.09	362.352	0.0038
Signal Selection	0.091	0.358	4.66	0.435	721.7	28.9	2.37	0.22	11.73	72.03	0.0031
$H_T(\text{jets}) > 650 \text{ GeV}$	0.054	0.04	0.187	0.015	5.6	0.266	0.025	0.0003	0.102	0.027	0.0213
$m_{\ell_1 \ell_2} > 130 \text{ GeV}$	0.039	0.029	0.105	0.008	0.163	0.127	0.024	$3x10^{-4}$	0.101	0.027	0.0493
$E_T < 40 \text{ GeV}$	0.036	0.005	0.036	0.007	0.126	0.014	0.005	$3x10^{-5}$	0.03	0.017	0.0684
$(\eta_{j_{1,2}} - \eta_{\ell_{1,2}})_{max} < 2.2$	0.033	0.003	0.022	0.005	0.093	0.009	0.004	$2x10^{-5}$	0.019	0.011	0.0738



$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{mass}} = y \bar{L} \tilde{H} \nu_R + \text{h.c.}$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{mass}} = \frac{y}{\Lambda} \bar{L}^c H H^T L + \text{h.c.}$$

Majorana

### Low energy: QCD Running

Dirac

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{O}_1 &= (\bar{u}_L d_R)(\bar{u}_L d_R)(\bar{e}_L e_R^c),\\ \mathcal{O}_2 &= (\bar{u}_L \sigma^{\mu\nu} d_R)(\bar{u}_L \sigma_{\mu\nu} d_R)(\bar{e}_L e_R^c),\\ \mathcal{O}_3 &= (\bar{u}_L t^a d_R)(\bar{u}_L t^a d_R)(\bar{e}_L e_R^c),\\ \mathcal{O}_4 &= (\bar{u}_L t^a \sigma^{\mu\nu} d_R)(\bar{u}_L t^a \sigma_{\mu\nu} d_R)(\bar{e}_L e_R^c). \end{aligned}$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{mass}} = y \bar{L} \tilde{H} \nu_R + \text{h.c.}$$

Dirac

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{mass}} = \frac{y}{\Lambda} \bar{L}^c H H^T L + \text{h.c.}$$

Majorana

### Low energy: QCD Running

 $\begin{aligned} \mathcal{O}_1 &= (\bar{u}_L d_R) (\bar{u}_L d_R) (\bar{e}_L e_R^c), \\ \mathcal{O}_2 &= (\bar{u}_L \sigma^{\mu\nu} d_R) (\bar{u}_L \sigma_{\mu\nu} d_R) (\bar{e}_L e_R^c), \\ \mathcal{O}_3 &= (\bar{u}_L t^a d_R) (\bar{u}_L t^a d_R) (\bar{e}_L e_R^c), \\ \mathcal{O}_4 &= (\bar{u}_L t^a \sigma^{\mu\nu} d_R) (\bar{u}_L t^a \sigma_{\mu\nu} d_R) (\bar{e}_L e_R^c). \end{aligned}$ 

$$\gamma^{ij} = -\frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi} \begin{pmatrix} 8 & 0 & 0 & 1\\ 0 & -8/3 & 48 & 0\\ 0 & 2/9 & -1 & 5/12\\ 32/3 & 0 & 20 & 19/3 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{eff}} = \sum_{j} \frac{C_j(\mu)}{\Lambda^5} \mathcal{O}_j(\mu) + h.c.,$$

$$\mu \frac{d}{d\mu} C = \gamma^T C$$

67

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{mass}} = y \bar{L} \tilde{H} \nu_R + \text{h.c.}$$

Dirac

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{mass}} = \frac{y}{\Lambda} \bar{L}^c H H^T L + \text{h.c.}$$

Majorana

#### Low energy: QCD Running

 $\begin{aligned} \mathcal{O}_1 &= (\bar{u}_L d_R) (\bar{u}_L d_R) (\bar{e}_L e_R^c), \\ \mathcal{O}_2 &= (\bar{u}_L \sigma^{\mu\nu} d_R) (\bar{u}_L \sigma_{\mu\nu} d_R) (\bar{e}_L e_R^c), \\ \mathcal{O}_3 &= (\bar{u}_L t^a d_R) (\bar{u}_L t^a d_R) (\bar{e}_L e_R^c), \\ \mathcal{O}_4 &= (\bar{u}_L t^a \sigma^{\mu\nu} d_R) (\bar{u}_L t^a \sigma_{\mu\nu} d_R) (\bar{e}_L e_R^c). \end{aligned}$ 

Assuming  $C_k = 1$  at  $\mu = 5$  GeV  $\rightarrow$ Effective DBD amplitude for  $O_1$ substantially weaker for given LHC constraints





Low energy: Nuclear Matrix Elements: Long Range Effects



Exploit Chiral Symmetry & EFT ideas



*Low energy:* Nuclear Matrix Elements: Long Range Effects



Our work

Helo et al

Exploit Chiral Symmetry & EFT ideas

Putting the pieces together

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{mass}} = y \bar{L} \tilde{H} \nu_R + \text{h.c.}$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{mass}} = \frac{y}{\Lambda} \bar{L}^c H H^T L + \text{h.c.}$$

Majorana

### Benchmark Sensitivity: TeV LNV



T. Peng, MRM, P. Winslow 1508.04444
#### *0vββ-Decay: TeV Scale LNV*

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{mass}} = y \bar{L} \tilde{H} \nu_R + \text{h.c.}$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{mass}} = \frac{y}{\Lambda} \bar{L}^c H H^T L + \text{h.c.}$$



#### *Ονββ-Decay: TeV Scale LNV*

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{mass}} = y \bar{L} \tilde{H} \nu_R + \text{h.c.}$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{mass}} = \frac{y}{\Lambda} \bar{L}^c H H^T L + \text{h.c.}$$



#### *0vββ-Decay: TeV Scale LNV*

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{mass}} = y \bar{L} \tilde{H} \nu_R + \text{h.c.}$$

Dirac

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{mass}} = \frac{y}{\Lambda} \bar{L}^c H H^T L + \text{h.c.}$$



#### *Ονββ-Decay: TeV Scale LNV*

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{mass}} = y \bar{L} \tilde{H} \nu_R + \text{h.c.}$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{mass}} = \frac{y}{\Lambda} \bar{L}^c H H^T L + \text{h.c.}$$



#### $0v\beta\beta$ -Decay: TeV Scale LNV & $m_v$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{mass}} = y \bar{L} \tilde{H} \nu_R + \text{h.c.} \qquad \mathcal{L}_{\text{mass}} = \frac{y}{\Lambda} \bar{L}^c H H^T L + \text{h.c.}$$
Dirac
Majorana

Implications for  $m_{v}$ :





Schecter-Valle: non-vanishing Majorana mass at (multi) loop level Simplified model: possible (larger) one loop Majorana mass 77

#### $0v\beta\beta$ -Decay: TeV Scale LNV & $m_v$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{mass}} = y \bar{L} \tilde{H} \nu_R + \text{h.c.} \qquad \mathcal{L}_{\text{mass}} = \frac{y}{\Lambda} \bar{L}^c H H^T L + \text{h.c.}$$
  
Dirac Majorana

Implications for  $m_{v}$ :



A hypothetical scenario

# *0vββ / LHC Interplay: Matrix Elements*

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{mass}} = y \bar{L} \tilde{H} \nu_R + \text{h.c.}$$

Dirac

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{mass}} = \frac{y}{\Lambda} \bar{L}^c H H^T L + \text{h.c.}$$









# V. EDMs & the LHC: Higgs Portal CPV

# $d_n \sim (10^{-16} \text{ e cm}) \times \theta_{QCD} + d_n^{CKM}$

$$d_n \sim (10^{-16} \text{ e cm}) \times \theta_{QCD} + d_n^{CKM}$$
  
 $d_n^{CKM} = (1 - 6) \times 10^{-32} \text{ e cm}$   
C. Seng arXiv: 1411 1476

# $d \sim (10^{-16} \text{ e cm}) \times (\upsilon / \Lambda)^2 \times \sin \phi \times y_f F$

$$d \sim (10^{-16} \text{ e cm}) \times (v / \Lambda)^2 \times [\sin \phi] \times y_f F$$
  
CPV Phase: large enough for baryogenesis ?

$$d \sim (10^{-16} \text{ e cm}) x (v / \Lambda)^2 x \sin \phi x y_f F$$
  
BSM mass scale: TeV ? Much higher ?

# $d \sim (10^{-16} \text{ e cm}) \times (\upsilon / \Lambda)^2 \times \sin \phi \times y_f F$

BSM dynamics: perturbative? Strongly coupled?

 $d \sim (10^{-16} \text{ e cm}) \times (\upsilon / \Lambda)^2 \times \sin \phi \times y_f F$ 

BSM dynamics: perturbative? Strongly coupled?

Hadronic & atomic systems: reliable SM calc's?





- Baryon asymmetry
- High energy collisions
- EDMs

Cosmic Frontier Energy Frontier Intensity Frontier EDM/LHC Complementarity

# The Higgs Portal



# **Higgs Portal CPV**

Inoue, R-M, Zhang: 1403.4257

CPV & 2HDM: Type I & II

 $\lambda_{6,7} = 0$  for simplicity

$$V = \frac{\lambda_1}{2} (\phi_1^{\dagger} \phi_1)^2 + \frac{\lambda_2}{2} (\phi_2^{\dagger} \phi_2)^2 + \lambda_3 (\phi_1^{\dagger} \phi_1) (\phi_2^{\dagger} \phi_2) + \lambda_4 (\phi_1^{\dagger} \phi_2) (\phi_2^{\dagger} \phi_1) + \frac{1}{2} \left[ \lambda_5 (\phi_1^{\dagger} \phi_2)^2 + \text{h.c.} \right] \\ - \frac{1}{2} \left\{ m_{11}^2 (\phi_1^{\dagger} \phi_1) + \left[ m_{12}^2 (\phi_1^{\dagger} \phi_2) + \text{h.c.} \right] + m_{22}^2 (\phi_2^{\dagger} \phi_2) \right\}.$$

 $\ H^{\mp}$ 



 $W^{\pm}$ 

92

### Future Reach: Higgs Portal CPV

#### CPV & 2HDM: Type II illustration

 $\lambda_{6.7} = 0$  for simplicity



P	re	se	eni	t

 $sin \alpha_b$  : CPV scalar mixing

Future:	Future:
<i>d<sub>n</sub></i> x 0.1	<i>d<sub>n</sub></i> x 0.01
<i>d<sub>A</sub>(Hg)</i> x 0.1	<i>d<sub>A</sub>(Hg)</i> x 0.1
d <sub>ThO</sub> x 0.1	d <sub>ThO</sub> x 0.1
<i>d<sub>A</sub>(Ra) [10<sup>-27</sup> e cm]</i>	d <sub>A</sub> (Ra)

Inoue, R-M, Zhang: 1403.4257

93

### **Higgs Portal CPV: EDMs & LHC**

#### CPV & 2HDM: Type II illustration

 $\lambda_{6.7} = 0$  for simplicity



Present

 $sin \alpha_b$  : CPV scalar mixing

Future:	Future:
d <sub>n</sub> x 0.1	d <sub>n</sub> x 0.01
d <sub>A</sub> (Hg) x 0.1	d <sub>A</sub> (Hg) x 0.1
d <sub>ThO</sub> x 0.1	d <sub>ThO</sub> x 0.1
d <sub>A</sub> (Ra) [10⁻²² e cm]	d <sub>A</sub> (Ra)

94

### **Higgs Portal CPV: EDMs & LHC**

#### CPV & 2HDM: Type II illustration

 $\lambda_{6.7} = 0$  for simplicity



Present

 $sin \alpha_b$  : CPV scalar mixing

 Future:
 Future:

  $d_n \ge 0.1$   $d_n \ge 0.01$ 
 $d_A(Hg) \ge 0.1$   $d_A(Hg) \ge 0.1$ 
 $d_{ThO} \ge 0.1$   $d_{ThO} \ge 0.1$ 
 $d_A(Ra) [10^{-27} e cm]$   $d_A(Ra)$ 

Inoue, R-M, Zhang: 1403.4257

95

### **Higgs Portal CPV: EDMs & LHC**

#### CPV & 2HDM: Type II illustration

 $\lambda_{6.7} = 0$  for simplicity



Present

 $sin \alpha_b$  : CPV scalar mixing

Future:
d <sub>n</sub> x 0.01
d <sub>A</sub> (Hg) x 0.1
d <sub>ThO</sub> x 0.1
d <sub>A</sub> (Ra)

Inoue, R-M, Zhang: 1403.4257

96

### Low-Energy / High-Energy Interplay

#### **Higgs Portal CPV**



### Hadronic & Nuclear Matrix Elements

# Hadronic Matrix Elements

Param	Coeff	Best value <sup>a</sup>	Range
θ	$lpha_n lpha_p$	0.002 0.002	(0.0005-0.004) (0.0005-0.004)
Im C <sub>qG</sub>	$egin{smallmatrix} eta_n^{uG} \ eta_n^{dG} \ eta_n^{dG} \end{split}$	$\begin{array}{l} 4\times10^{-4}\\ 8\times10^{-4}\end{array}$	$(1 - 10) \times 10^{-4}$ $(2 - 18) \times 10^{-4}$
$\tilde{d}_q$	$e ilde{ ho}_n^u \\ e ilde{ ho}_n^d$	-0.35 -0.7	-(0.09 - 0.9) -(0.2 - 1.8)
$\overline{ ilde{\delta}_q}$	$e \tilde{\zeta}_n^u \\ e \tilde{\zeta}_n^d$	$8.2 \times 10^{-9}$ $16.3 \times 10^{-9}$	$(2-20) \times 10^{-9}$ $(4-40) \times 10^{-9}$
$\operatorname{Im} C_{q\gamma}$	$egin{array}{l} eta_n^{u\gamma} \ eta_n^{d\gamma} \ eta_n^{d\gamma} \end{array}$	$0.4  imes 10^{-3}$ -1.6 $ imes 10^{-3}$	$(0.2 - 0.6) \times 10^{-3}$ -(0.8 - 2.4) × 10^{-3}
d <sub>q</sub>	$ ho_n^u  ho_n^d  ho_n^d$	-0.35 1.4	(-0.17)-0.52 0.7-2.1
$\delta_q$	$\zeta_n^u$ $\zeta_n^d$	$8.2  imes 10^{-9} \ -33  imes 10^{-9}$	$(4 - 12) \times 10^{-9}$ -(16 - 50) × 10 <sup>-9</sup>
C <sub>Ĝ</sub>	$\beta_n^{\tilde{G}}$	$2 \times 10^{-7}$	$(0.2-40)  imes 10^{-7}$
Im C <sub>øud</sub>	$\beta_n^{\varphi u d}$	$3  imes 10^{-8}$	$(1 - 10) \times 10^{-8}$
$\operatorname{Im} C^{(1,8)}_{quqd}$	$\beta_n^{quqd}$	$40 \times 10^{-7}$	$(10 - 80) \times 10^{-7}$
$\operatorname{Im} C_{eq}^{(-)}$	<b>g</b> <sup>(0)</sup>	12.7	11-14.5
Im C <sub>eq</sub> <sup>(+)</sup>	g <sub>S</sub> <sup>(1)</sup>	0.9	0.6–1.2

Engel, R-M, van Kolck '13

# Hadronic Matrix Elements

Param	Coeff	Best value <sup>a</sup>	Range
$\bar{ heta}$	$lpha_n lpha_p$	0.002 0.002	(0.0005-0.004) (0.0005-0.004)
Im C <sub>qG</sub>	$egin{array}{l} eta_n^{uG} \ eta_n^{dG} \ eta_n^{dG} \end{array}$	$4 \times 10^{-4}$ $8 \times 10^{-4}$	$(1 - 10) \times 10^{-4}$ $(2 - 18) \times 10^{-4}$
$\tilde{d}_q$	$e ilde{ ho}_n^u \\ e ilde{ ho}_n^d$	-0.35 -0.7	-(0.09 - 0.9) -(0.2 - 1.8)
$\tilde{\delta}_q$ (CEDM)	$e\tilde{\zeta}_n^u$ $e\tilde{\zeta}_n^d$	$\frac{8.2 \times 10^{-9}}{16.3 \times 10^{-9}}$	$\begin{array}{c} (2-20)\times 10^{-9} \\ (4-40)\times 10^{-9} \end{array}$
$\operatorname{Im} C_{q\gamma}$	$\beta_n^{\mu\gamma} \\ \beta_n^{d\gamma}$	$0.4  imes 10^{-3}$ -1.6 $ imes 10^{-3}$	$(0.2 - 0.6) \times 10^{-3}$ -(0.8 - 2.4) × 10^{-3}
d <sub>q</sub>	${ ho}_n^u  ho_n^d$	-0.35 1.4	(-0.17)-0.52 0.7-2.1
$\delta_q$	$\zeta_n^u$ $\zeta_n^d$	$8.2  imes 10^{-9} \ -33  imes 10^{-9}$	$(4 - 12) \times 10^{-9}$ -(16 - 50) × 10 <sup>-9</sup>
C <sub>Ĝ</sub>	$\beta_n^{\tilde{G}}$	$2 \times 10^{-7}$	$(0.2 - 40) \times 10^{-7}$
Im C <sub>øud</sub>	$\beta_n^{\varphi u d}$	$3  imes 10^{-8}$	$(1 - 10) \times 10^{-8}$
$\operatorname{Im} C^{(1,8)}_{quqd}$	$\beta_n^{quqd}$	$40  imes 10^{-7}$	$(10 - 80) \times 10^{-7}$
$\operatorname{Im} C_{eq}^{(-)}$	g <sub>S</sub> <sup>(0)</sup>	12.7	11-14.5
$\operatorname{Im} C_{eq}^{(+)}$	g <sub>S</sub> <sup>(1)</sup>	0.9	0.6–1.2

Engel, R-M, van Kolck '13

# Hadronic Matrix Elements

Param	Coeff	Best value <sup>a</sup>	Range
$\bar{ heta}$	$lpha_n \ lpha_p$	0.002 0.002	(0.0005-0.004) (0.0005-0.004)
Im C <sub>qG</sub>	$eta_n^{uG}\ eta_n^{dG}$	$\begin{array}{l} 4\times10^{-4}\\ 8\times10^{-4}\end{array}$	$(1 - 10) \times 10^{-4}$ $(2 - 18) \times 10^{-4}$
$\tilde{d}_q$	$e ilde{ ho}_n^u \\ e ilde{ ho}_n^d$	-0.35 -0.7	-(0.09 - 0.9) -(0.2 - 1.8)
$ ilde{\delta}_q$	$e \tilde{\zeta}_n^u \\ e \tilde{\zeta}_n^d$	$8.2 \times 10^{-9}$ 16.3 × 10 <sup>-9</sup>	$(2 - 20) \times 10^{-9}$ $(4 - 40) \times 10^{-9}$
$\operatorname{Im} C_{q\gamma}$	$egin{array}{l} eta_n^{u\gamma} \ eta_n^{d\gamma} \end{array} \ eta_n^{d\gamma} \end{array}$	$0.4  imes 10^{-3}$ -1.6 $ imes 10^{-3}$	$(0.2 - 0.6) \times 10^{-3}$ -(0.8 - 2.4) × 10^{-3}
$d_q$	$ ho_n^u  ho_n^d  ho_n^d$	-0.35 1.4	(-0.17)-0.52 0.7-2.1
$\delta_q$ (EDM)	$\zeta_n^u$ $\zeta_n^d$	$\begin{array}{c} 8.2 \times 10^{-9} \\ -33 \times 10^{-9} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} (4-12)\times 10^{-9} \\ -(16-50)\times 10^{-9} \end{array}$
C <sub>Ĝ</sub>	$eta_n^{ ilde{G}}$	$2 \times 10^{-7}$	$(0.2 - 40) \times 10^{-7}$
Im C <sub>øud</sub>	$\beta_n^{\varphi u d}$	$3  imes 10^{-8}$	$(1 - 10) \times 10^{-8}$
$\operatorname{Im} C_{quqd}^{(1,8)}$	$\beta_n^{quqd}$	$40 \times 10^{-7}$	$(10 - 80) \times 10^{-7}$
$\operatorname{Im} C_{eq}^{(-)}$	<b>g</b> <sup>(0)</sup>	12.7	11–14.5
Im C <sup>(+)</sup>	g <sub>S</sub> <sup>(1)</sup>	0.9	0.6–1.2

Update: Battacharya et al 2015

Engel, R-M, van Kolck '13

### **Nuclear Matrix Elements**

$$S = a_0 g \,\bar{g}_{\pi}^{(0)} + a_1 g \,\bar{g}_{\pi}^{(1)} + a_2 g \,\bar{g}_{\pi}^{(2)}$$

Nucl.	Best value		
	<i>a</i> <sub>0</sub>	<i>a</i> <sub>1</sub>	<i>a</i> <sub>2</sub>
<sup>199</sup> Hg <sup>129</sup> Xe <sup>225</sup> Ra	0.01 0.008 1.5	± 0.02 -0.006 6.0	0.02 -0.009 -4.0
Range			
a <sub>0</sub>	<b>a</b> <sub>1</sub>	1	<i>a</i> <sub>2</sub>
0.005-0.05 -0.005-(-0.05) -1-(-6)	-0.03-(+0.09) -0.003-(-0.05) 4-24		0.01-0.06 -0.005-(-0.1) -3-(-15)

### Had & Nuc Uncertainties

CPV & 2HDM: Type II illustration

#### $\lambda_{6.7} = 0$ for simplicity



Present

 $sin \alpha_b$  : CPV scalar mixing

### Had & Nuc Uncertainties

CPV & 2HDM: Type II illustration

#### $\lambda_{6,7} = 0$ for simplicity



Present

 $sin \alpha_b$  : CPV scalar mixing

### Had & Nuc Uncertainties

CPV & 2HDM: Type II illustration

#### $\lambda_{6,7} = 0$ for simplicity



Present

# Challenge for Theory

 $sin \alpha_b$  : CPV scalar mixing

# VI. Summary & Outlook

- There exist a variety of well-motivated neutrino mass mechanisms associated with LNV interactions ranging from low- to high-scales
- *0vββ*-decay and LHC searches provide complementary probes of TeV scale LNV
- EDM and LHC searches can provide complementary probes of BSM CPV
- LHC results may provide a powerful diagnostic for interpreting a non-zero  $0\nu\beta\beta$ -decay and/or EDM observation
- Refined hadronic & nuclear ME computations are essential to this inter-frontier complementarity

# VII. Back Up Slides

# Why Might A "Ton-Scale" Exp't See It?



Three active light neutrinos

108
### *Ονββ-Decay: TeV Scale LNV*

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{mass}} = y \bar{L} \tilde{H} \nu_R + \text{h.c.} \qquad \mathcal{L}_{\text{mass}} = \frac{y}{\Lambda} \bar{L}^c H H^T L + \text{h.c.}$$
  
Dirac Majorana

General Classification: Helo et al, PRD 88.011901, 88.073011



### LRSM: Type I See-Saw

Mass: standard see-saw but TeV scale

### *Ονββ-Decay: TeV Scale LNV*

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{mass}} = y \bar{L} \tilde{H} \nu_R + \text{h.c.} \qquad \mathcal{L}_{\text{mass}} = \frac{y}{\Lambda} \bar{L}^c H H^T L + \text{h.c.}$$
  
Dirac Majorana

General Classification: Helo et al, PRD 88.011901, 88.073011

LRSM: Type II See-Saw

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{g}{2} h_{ij} \left[ \bar{L}^{C_i} \varepsilon \Delta_L L^j \right] + (L \leftrightarrow R) + \text{h.c.}$$



### *Ονββ-Decay: TeV Scale LNV*

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{mass}} = y \bar{L} \tilde{H} \nu_R + \text{h.c.} \qquad \mathcal{L}_{\text{mass}} = \frac{y}{\Lambda} \bar{L}^c H H^T L + \text{h.c.}$$
  
Dirac Majorana

General Classification: Helo et al, PRD 88.011901, 88.073011



Scalar Leptoquarks

Mass: like RPV SUSY (loop)

NLDBD: need Majorana fermion

$$\mathcal{L}_{F=0} = h_{1/2}^{L} \overline{u}_{R} \ell_{L} S_{1/2}^{L} + h_{1/2}^{R} \overline{q}_{L} \epsilon e_{R} S_{1/2}^{R} + \tilde{h}_{1/2}^{L} \overline{d}_{R} \ell_{L} \tilde{S}_{1/2}^{L}$$

111

## Why Might A "Ton-Scale" Exp't See It?



# Interpreting a Positive Result



## Interpreting a Positive Result



Lightest neutrino mass (eV) ightarrow

Positive result would be consistent with 3+1 light active v's & NH, IH, or quasi-deg regime, but not definitive as to mechanism

114

# Sterile Neutrinos & 0v\beta\beta-Decay

#### 3 active light neutrinos

Effective DBD neutrino mass (eV)



Lightest neutrino mass (eV) ightarrow

$$|m_{\beta\beta}| = |\mu_1 + \mu_2 e^{i\alpha_2} + \mu_3 e^{i\alpha_3}|$$

#### 3+1 active light neutrinos



Lightest neutrino mass (eV) ightarrow

$$|m_{\beta\beta}| = \left|\mu_1 + \mu_2 e^{i\alpha_2} + \mu_3 e^{i\alpha_3} + \mu_4 e^{i\alpha_4}\right|$$

# Sterile Neutrinos & 0v\beta\beta-Decay





Lightest neutrino mass (eV) ightarrow

$$|m_{\beta\beta}| = |\mu_1 + \mu_2 e^{i\alpha_2} + \mu_3 e^{i\alpha_3}|$$

#### 3+1 active light neutrinos



Lightest neutrino mass (eV) ightarrow

$$|m_{\beta\beta}| = \left|\mu_1 + \mu_2 e^{i\alpha_2} + \mu_3 e^{i\alpha_3} + \mu_4 e^{i\alpha_4}\right|$$



Tractable nuclear operators

Systematic operator classification



Prezeau, MJRM, Vogel PRD 68 (2003) 034016

**Operator classification** 

$$\mu = M_{WEAK}$$

$$\mathcal{L}(\boldsymbol{q},\boldsymbol{e}) = \frac{G_F^2}{\Lambda_{\beta\beta}} \sum_{j=1}^{14} C_j(\mu) \, \hat{O}_j^{++} \, \overline{e} \Gamma_j e^c + h c \, .$$

e.g.

$$\hat{O}_{1+}^{ab} = \overline{q}_L \gamma^{\mu} \tau^a q_L \ \overline{q}_R \gamma_{\mu} \tau^b q_R$$

 $0v \beta\beta$  - decay: a = b = +

**Operator classification** 

$$\mu = M_{WEAK}$$

$$\hat{O}_{1+}^{ab} = \overline{q}_L \gamma^{\mu} \tau^a q_L \ \overline{q}_R \gamma_{\mu} \tau^b q_R$$

Chiral transformations: SU(2)<sub>L</sub> x SU(2)<sub>R</sub>

$$\begin{array}{ll} q_L \rightarrow L q_L & L \\ q_R \rightarrow R q_R & R \end{array} = \exp \left( i \vec{\theta}_L \cdot \frac{\vec{\tau}}{2} P_L \\ R & R \end{array} \right) \qquad \hat{O}_{1+}^{ab} \in (3_L, 3_R) \end{array}$$

Parity transformations:  $q_L \leftrightarrow q_R$ 

0ν ββ - decay: a = b = +

$$\hat{O}_{1+}^{++} \leftrightarrow \hat{O}_{1+}^{++}$$
 119

